



Milky Way disc dynamics and kinematics from mock data

Laurent Chemin

CNES Postdoctoral Fellow (Lab. Astro. of Bordeaux) DPAC CU6 member: Gaia RVS calibrations and commissioning CU9 member: validation of CU6 and CU8 data

<u>Outline</u>

Analysis of the disc mock datasets:

density waves pattern speeds, dark matter halo parameters





Motivations

- Mass profile of the MW
- Inner slope of DM density
- Comparison with LCDM cosmology and with Local Universe spirals

- Cuspy like in CDM simulations?
 - Which cusp? (Navarro+ 96, 97, Moore+ 99, Diemand+ 04, 05, Merritt+06, Graham+06, Navarro+ 04, 10)
- Cored like in most disc galaxies?
 - Which core?

(de Blok & Bosma 02, Swaters+ 03, Oh+ 08, Kuzio de Naray+08, Spano+ 09, Chemin+ 11)



Methodology

- Adopt a simple 'extragalactic' point-of-view, behave with data like any other discs
 - '1D' analysis: Decompose V(R) into DM & baryons
 - Need:
 - a rotation curve
 - velocity dispersions
 - BM surface density profiles (bulge, discs, etc.)
 - a DM density profile model (spherical distribution)



- $\sim 10^4 10^5$ pixels/spaxels/spectra versus $\sim 10^7 10^8$ points for Gaia
 - · Need to develop numerical tools for rotation curve/surface density
- Gaia Challenge: 'Super Gaia' mock discs data: no errors, no extinction



1st exercise : unperturbed disc simulation (GD1 Hunt+ 13) Whole disc





1st exercise : unperturbed disc simulation (GD1 Hunt+ 13) Whole disc





2nd exercise : unperturbed disc simulation (GD1 Hunt+ 13) Limited volume



Cuspy Einasto models (fixed n=5) fit the data better than NFW, but not for a limited volume



3rd exercise : barred-spiral disc simulation (GD2 Hunt+ 13)





4th exercise : barred-spiral disc simulation (GD2 Hunt+ 13)

Results for limited volume only



Missing baryons: azimuthal effect to take into account

4th exercise : barred-spiral disc simulation (Hunt+ 13)

Model X ²	Whole disc	Limited volume
NFW	291	1061
Einasto Cusp n=5	264	980

Cuspy Einasto models (fixed n=5) fit the data better than NFW

DM halo parameters in full agreement with input halo







Bar & spiral pattern speeds

Why pattern speeds are important? cf Monari, Santi-Fabrega & Penniger' talks on monday

Tremaine-Weinberg method (Tremaine & Weinberg 1984)

$$\Omega_p \sin i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma(X, Y) X dX = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma(X, Y) V_{\parallel}(X, Y) dX.$$

- Independent from dynamical modeling
- A few tens of bar pattern speeds determined (e.g. Gerssen+99, Debattista & Williams 04, Hernandez+05, Fahti+07, Chemin & Hernandez 09)
- Fast bars in early type and/or massive discs
- Slow bars (Ω_{n} < 20 km/s/kpc) in late type









Bar pattern speed Lindblad resonances

Can the MW bar and spiral pattern speeds be derived from Gaia data with the TW method?

$$\Omega_p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma(x, y, t) x \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma(x, y, t) v_y(x, y, t) \, dx$$
$$v_y = v_\theta \cos(\theta) + v_r \sin(\theta)$$

Try with mock data simulations of a barred spiral galaxy (GD2, Hunt et al. 2013)













Density waves pattern speeds

Can we apply the TW method to Gaia data?

$$\Omega_p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma(x, y, t) x \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma(x, y, t) v_y(x, y, t) \, dx$$

It could provide a very good estimate of the local spiral arm pattern speed, and maybe a correct one for the bar

Future improvements with mock data simulations:

- Use simulations with reasonable errors and extinction effect
- Provide pattern speeds uncertainties
- Fine tuning of the radial range for linear fit
- Test the Radial TW method (Merrifield+ 06):

alternately add masks to enhance regions of importance